

**FILED**  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**  
**MARSHALL DIVISION**

JUL 06 2009

DAVID J. MALAND, CLERK  
BY DEPUTY xnd

LASERDYNAMICS, INC.,	§
Plaintiff,	§
vs.	§
QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.,	§
Defendants.	§
	§

CASE NO. 2:06-CV-348

**VERDICT FORM**

**QUESTION NO. 1:**

Do you find by a preponderance of evidence that Quanta Computer, Inc. ("QCI") contributed to or induced infringement of claim 3 of the '981 patent?

**Answer "Yes" or "No" to each listed product below:**

SBW243:	<u>Yes</u> Yes	SDW087:	<u>Yes</u> Yes
SBW242:	<u>Yes</u> Yes	SDR089:	<u>Yes</u> Yes
SBW245:	<u>Yes</u> Yes	UBW241:	<u>Yes</u> Yes
SDR083:	<u>Yes</u> Yes	SDW088:	<u>Yes</u> Yes
SBW246:	<u>Yes</u> Yes	SDW041:	<u>Yes</u> Yes
SDW085:	<u>Yes</u> Yes	SDW042:	<u>Yes</u> Yes
SDR08B:	<u>Yes</u> Yes	SDW082:	<u>Yes</u> Yes
SDW086:	<u>Yes</u> Yes		
SDR08C:	<u>Yes</u> Yes		

**QUESTION NO. 2:**

Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that claim 3 of the '981 patent is invalid because it is not enabled?

**Answer "Yes" or "No".**

Yes No

If you answered “**Yes**” to any accused product on Question 1 AND you answered “**No**” to Question 2 above, then answer the following Questions 3 and 4.

Otherwise, **DO NOT** answer the following Questions. The jury foreperson should instead sign and date the Verdict Form and **return** it to the Court Security Officer.

**QUESTION NO. 3:**

Do you find by a clear and convincing evidence that Quanta Computer, Inc.’s infringement, contributorily or by inducement, of claim 3 of the ‘981 patent was willful?

**Answer “Yes” or “No”:**

Yes Yes

**QUESTION NO. 4:**

What sum of money, if any, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate LaserDynamics as a reasonable royalty for infringement that you have found?

Answer in dollars and cents, if any, for a reasonable royalty:

Answer: ~~\$152,000,000~~ R 7/6/09  
\$52,000,000

Signed this 6 day of July, 2009.

---

JURY FOREPERSON